## REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 2004 STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

#### -INTRODUCTION-

This report shows expenditures made by Ballot Question Committees in support of or opposition to 2004 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures, which were made to directly support or oppose the particular issue, are reported. Examples of qualifying expenditures would be payments to printing companies, advertising agencies, radio and television stations, staff salaries and travel expenses etc. Transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee are not included in this report.

Also included are the value of goods and services donated to a committee at no cost to the committee (commonly referred to as "in-kind contributions"). These include the use of office space and equipment, guest speakers and payment of a bill on behalf of a committee.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on the expenditures made by Ballot Question Committees. Questions about a particular entry should first be checked against the committee's actual campaign files.

#### - NOVEMBER 2, 2004 GENERAL ELECTION -

Proposal 04-1	Constitutional Amendment to require voter approval of any form of gambling authorized by law and certain new state lottery games.
(Approved)	
Proposal 04-2	Constitutional Amendment to provide that "the union of one man and one woman in marriage shall be the only agreement recognized as a marriage or similar union for any purpose."
(Annroyad)	

(Approved)

#### - REPORT DESCRIPTION -

The proposals are separated by election and then by proposal number. Each section provides expenditure information on that particular issue. There are two Groups: "Support" and "Oppose." Within each proposal, the following information is shown:

- The identification number of each committee.
- The name of each committee.
- The total dollar amount expended by each committee.
- The total of in-kind goods and services contributed to each committee.
- The total ending debt of each committee.

When there is no committee activity for a particular ballot question the word "None Reported" will appear in place of the identification number.

#### 2004 BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES STATISTICS

#### **2004 GENERAL ELECTION**

PROPOSAL 04-1 Constitutional Amendment to require voter approval of any form of gambling authorized by law and certain new state lottery games.

#### **Committees Supporting:**

ID# 512104-1 Let Voters Decide Yes on 1

	Stmt	_	Expenditures		In-Kind
	Туре			Co	ontributions
	04 Qualification	\$	7,552,948.31	\$	18,922.80
	04 Pre General	\$	4,965,190.80	\$	-
	04 Post General	\$	6,165,301.82	\$	17,938.46
	05 Annual	_\$	766,376.87		
	Total	\$	19,449,817.80	\$	36,861.26
ID# 512398-9 <u>Citizens for Truth</u>					
	05 Annual	\$	49,720.59	\$	
Committees Opposing: ID# 512362-5 No Casino Monopoly N	o on Proposal 1				
-	04 Qualification	\$	999,462.16	\$	-
	04 Pre General	\$	625,684.36	\$	-
	04 Post General	\$	2,470,494.25	\$	-
	05 Dissolution	\$	41,059.24	\$	•
	Total	\$	4,136,700.01	\$	-
ID# 512377-3 Committee to Protect or	ır Schools				
	04 Pre General	\$	720,000.00	\$	-
	04 Post General	\$	2,950,060.00	\$	-
	05 Dissolution	\$	35,230.00	\$	-
	Total	S	3,705,290.00	S	<u>-</u>

PROPOSAL 04-2 Constitutional Amendment to provide that "the union of one man and one woman in marriage shall be the only agreement recognized as a marriage or similar union for any purpose."

#### **Committees Supporting:**

ID# 511931-8 Citizens for the Protection of Marriage

	Stmt		Expenditures		In-Kind
	Type				Contributions
	04 Qualification	\$	552,898.10	) \$	-
	04 Pre General	\$	205,647.38	3 \$	_
	04 Post General	\$	899,097.63	3 \$	85.60
	Total	\$	1,657,643.11	\$	85.60
ID# 512321-1 Michigan Citizens Voting	Yes for Marriage				
	04 Qualification	\$	4,174.25	5 \$	-
	04 Post General	_\$	2,000.00	\$	
	Total	\$	6,174.25	\$	-
ID# 512318-7 Focus on the Family MI M	Marriage Amendme	nt Co	ommittee		
	04 Qualification	\$	-	\$	30,030.65
	04 Pre General	\$	-	\$	42,610.01
	04 Post General	\$	-	\$	1,008.00
	Total	\$	-	\$	73,648.66
ID# 512312-0 Family Research Council,	Inc.				
	04 Qualification	\$	105,296.13	\$	-
	04 Pre General	\$	85,000.00	\$	
	Total	\$	190,296.13	5	-
ID# 512007-6 Oakland Citizens to Protect	et Marriage				
	04 Qualification	\$	23,545.90	\$	2,920.52

Committees Supporting:
ID# 512355-9 <u>Traditional Marriage Crusade</u>

	Stmt Type	_	Expenditur	es	In-Kind Contributions
	04 Qualification	\$	-	\$	
	04 Pre General	\$	23.03	\$	-
	04 Post General	\$	3,216.22	\$	
	Total	\$	3,239.25	\$	1,666.66
ID# 512395-5 Marriage in the Public Int	erest Committee				
	04 Post General	\$	4,438.81	\$	-
	05 Annual	\$	61.19	\$	
	Total	\$	4,500.00	\$	-
Committees Opposing: ID# 511681-9 Coalition for a Fair Michi	gan				
	04 Qualification	\$	156,053.04	\$	32,716.18
	04 Pre General	\$	45,882.29	\$	39,023.30
	04 Post General	\$	577,463.65	\$	75.00
	Total	\$	779,398.98	\$	71,814.48

#### **SUMMARY**

#### **NOVEMBER 2, 2004 GENERAL ELECTION**

## Proposal 04-01

•		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$19,499,538.39 \$ 36,861.26 \$ 0	
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 7,841,990.01 \$ 0 \$ 0	
Totals	\$27,378,389.66	
Proposal 04-02		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ 1,885,398.64 \$ 78,321.44 \$ 28,942.82	
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 779,398.98 \$ 71,814.48 \$ 0	
Totals	\$ 2,843,876.36	
Grand Total for 2004 General	\$30,222,266.02	

#### REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 2002 STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

#### -INTRODUCTION-

This report shows expenditures made by Ballot Question Committees in support of or opposition to 2002 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures, which were made to directly support or oppose the particular issue, are reported. Examples of qualifying expenditures would be payments to printing companies, advertising agencies, radio and television stations, staff salaries and travel expenses etc. Transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee are not included in this report.

Also included are the value of goods and services donated to a committee at no cost to the committee (commonly referred to as "in-kind contributions"). These include the use of office space and equipment, guest speakers and payment of a bill on behalf of a committee.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on the expenditures made by Ballot Question Committees. Questions about a particular entry should first be checked against the committee's actual campaign files.

#### - AUGUST 6, 2002 PRIMARY ELECTION -

A proposal to amend the provision of the state constitution governing the operation of the

Proposal 02-1

(Approved)	State Officers Compensation Commission (SOCC).
Proposal 02-2 ( <i>Approved</i> )	A proposal to allow certain permanent and endowment funds to be invested as provided by law and increase spending for state parks, local parks and outdoor recreation.
	- NOVEMBER 5, 2002 GENERAL ELECTION -
Proposal 02-1 ( <i>Failed</i> )	Referendum on Public Act 269 of 2001. (Elimination of Straight Party Voting).
Proposal 02-2 (Approved)	A proposal to authorize bonds for sewage treatment works projects, storm water projects and water pollution projects.
Proposal 02-3 (Failed)	A proposal to amend the State Constitution to grant state classified employees the constitutional right to collective bargaining with binding arbitration.
Proposal 02-4 (Failed)	A proposed constitutional amendment to reallocate the "Tobacco Settlement Revenue" received by the state from cigarette manufactures.

#### - REPORT DESCRIPTION -

The proposals are separated by election and then by proposal number. Each section provides expenditure information on that particular issue. There are two Groups: "Support" and "Oppose." Within each proposal, the following information is shown:

- The identification number of each committee.
- The name of each committee.
- The total dollar amount expended by each committee.
- The total of in-kind goods and services contributed to each committee.
- The total ending debt of each committee.

When there is no committee activity for a particular ballot question the word "None Reported" will appear in place of the identification number.

## 2002 BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES STATISTICS

#### 2002 PRIMARY ELECTION

PROPOSAL 02-1 A proposal to amend the provision of the state constitution governing the operation of the State Officers Compensation Commission (SOCC)

#### **Committees Supporting:**

None Reported

#### **Committees Opposing:**

None Reported

PROPOSAL 02-2 A proposal to allow certain permanent and endowment funds to be invested as provided by law and increase spending for state parks, local parks and outdoor recreation.

#### **Committees Supporting:**

ID# 510885-7 Funds For the Future

2002 Qualification Campaign Statement

Expenditures: \$ 23.27 In-Kind Contributions

2002 Pre-Primary Campaign Statement

Expenditures \$ 7.89 In-Kind Contributions

In-Kind Contributions <u>\$41,391.16</u>
Total \$31.16 \$44,995.63

Dissolved effective 10/14/2002

(No expenditures for this proposal on Dissolution Campaign Statement)

#### ID# 003537-8 Detroit Edison Ballot Question Committee

2002 Post Primary Campaign Statement

Expenditures \$ 5,000.00 (to Funds For the Future Committee)

\$3,604.47

#### **Committees Opposing:**

None Reported

#### **SUMMARY**

#### **AUGUST 6, 2002 PRIMARY ELECTION**

Proposal 02-01	÷	
Expenditures in Support	\$ 0	
In-Kind Goods & Services in Support	\$ 0	
Ending Debt in Support	\$ 0	
Expenditures in Opposition	\$ 0	
In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition	\$ 0	
Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 0	
Totals	\$ 0	
Proposal 02-02		
Expenditures in Support	\$ 5,031.16	
In-Kind Goods & Services in Support	\$ 44,995.63	3
Ending Debt in Support	\$ Ó	
Expenditures in Opposition	\$ 0	
In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition	\$ 0	
Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 0	
Totals	\$ 0 .	
Grand Total for 2002 Primary	\$ 50,026.79	

#### 2002 GENERAL ELECTION

PROPOSAL 02-1 Referendum on Public Act 269 of 2001. (Elimination of Straight Party Voting) (See attachment)

#### **Committees Supporting:**

None Reported

#### **Committees Opposing:**

ID# 510617-4 Pro-Voters

2002 Qualification Campaign Statement

Expenditures

In-Kind Contributions

\$ 500,008.09

\$ 1,151.43

ID# 505937- Citizens for Education

2002 Qualification Campaign Statement

Expenditures

\$ 50,000.00 (to Pro Voters)

ID# 510084-7 Working Families Votes

2002 Post General Campaign Statement

Expenditures

5,000.00

## PROPOSAL 02-2 A proposal to authorize bonds for sewage treatment works projects, storm water projects and water pollution projects (See Attachment)

#### **Committees Supporting:**

ID# 511311-3 Clean Water Committee

2002 Pre General Campaign Statement

Expenditures

\$ 114,898.66

2002 Post General Campaign Statement

Expenditures

\$ 348,307.63

Totals

\$ 463,206.29

ID# 003537-8 Detroit Edison

2002 Pre General Campaign Statement

Expenditures

\$ 50,000.00 (to Clean Water Committee)

ID# 510617-4

Pro Voters

2002 Post General Campaign Statement

Expenditures

\$ 316,575.00

In-Kind Contributions

\$ 70,483.35

\$673,362.06

#### **Committees Opposing:**

None Reported

#### PROPOSAL 02-3 A proposal to amend the State Constitution to grant state classified employees the constitutional right to collective bargaining with binding arbitration (See Attachment)

#### **Committees Supporting:**

ID# 510452-6 Michigan Employee Rights Initiative (MERIT)

2002 Annual Campaign Statement Expenditures \$ 7,575.10 In-Kind Contributions \$ 14,796.31 2002 Qualification Campaign Statement Expenditures 897,293.22 In Kind Contributions \$512,992.16 2002 Pre General Campaign Statement Expenditures \$12,424,564.90 In-Kind Contributions \$ 47,830.71 2002 Post General Campaign Statement Expenditures 611,396.14 In-Kind Contributions \$ 97,742.88 \$13,940,829.36

ID# 505937-3 Citizens for Education

Totals

2002 Pre General Campaign Statement

Expenditures \$ 1,000.00 (to Merit)

#### **Committees Opposing:**

ID# 501528-4 MichiganChamber Pac II

2002 Post General Campaign Statement

Expenditures \$ 100.00

PROPOSAL 02-4 A proposed constitutional amendment to reallocate the "Tobacco Settlement Revenue" received by the state from cigarette manufacturers (See Attachment)

Committees Suppo	orting:				
ID# 510577					
	2002 Annual Campaign Statement				
	Expenditures	\$	S 0-		
	In-Kind Contributions	4	, 0-	\$	14,450.00
	2002 Qualification Campaign Statement			Ф	14,430.00
	Expenditures	Φ	2,943,099.96		
	In-Kind Contributions	Ф	2,943,099.90	φ	145 200 76
				\$	145,302.76
	2002 Pre General Campaign Statement	Ф	1 240 026 04		
	Expenditures In-Kind Contributions	\$	1,249,036.84	Ф	1 000 00
				\$	1,039.00
	2002 Post General Campaign Statement	Φ.	000 015 56		
	Expenditures	\$	939,315.56	_	
	In-Kind Contributions			\$	55,384.41
	2003 Dissolution Campaign Statement	_			
	Expenditures	\$	2,014.62		
	In-Kind Contributions	_		<u>\$_</u>	8,056.54
	Totals	\$	5,133,466.98	\$	224,232.71
Committees Opposi	ina:				
ID# 511325	People Protecting Kids & the Constitution				
115/1/311323	2002 Qualification Campaign Statement				
	Expenditures	Φ	50 005 46		
	2002 Pre General Campaign Statement	\$	50,995.46		
		ф	250,000,00		
	Expenditures	\$	250,000.00		
	2002 Post General Campaign Statement	Φ.1	. 505 450 55		
	Expenditures	\$ 1	,505,458.75		
	2003 Dissolution Campaign Statement	Φ.	220 # 60 4 4		
	Expenditures		230,568.14		
	Totals	\$ 2	2,037,022.35		
TD// 505025 2	C'' C FI ''				
шл# 505937-3	Citizens for Education				
	2002 Pre General Campaign Statement		<b>-</b> 0.000.00		
	Expenditures	\$	50,000.00 (to Pe	eople I	Protecting Kids)

#### SUMMARY

#### **NOVEMBER 5, 2002 GENERAL ELECTION**

Proposal 02-01		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$ \$	555,008.09 1,151.43 0
Totals	\$	556,159.52
Proposal 02-02		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ \$ \$	829,781.29 70,483.35 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0
Totals	\$	900,264.64
Proposal 02-03		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$13 \$ \$	,941,829.36 673,362.06 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$ \$	100.00 0 0
Totals	\$14,	615,291.42

#### Proposal 02-04

Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ 5,133,466.98 \$ 224,232.71 \$ 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 2,087,022.35 \$ 0 \$ 0
Totals	\$ 7,444,722.04

Grand Total for 2002 General

\$23,516,437.62

#### REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1998 STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

#### - INTRODUCTION -

This report shows expenditures by Ballot Question Committees in support of or opposition to 1998 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures which were used to directly support or oppose the particular issue are shown. Examples would be payments to printing companies, payments to advertising agencies, payments to radio and television stations, staff salaries and travel expenses etc. Therefore, transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee would not be shown.

Also included are the value of goods and services provided to a committee at no cost to the committee. These include the use of office space and equipment, speakers and payment of a bill for a committee.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on the expenditures. Any question about a particular entry should be checked against the committee's actual file.

#### - NOVEMBER 3, 1998 GENERAL ELECTION -

- Proposal A A proposed amendment to the Michigan State Constitution sponsored by the State Legislature under Senate Joint Resolution I -- to change "handicapped" to "disabled" in the State Constitution.
- Proposal B A proposal to legalize prescription of lethal medication to terminally ill.
- Proposal C A bond proposal sponsored by the State Legislature under House Bill 5622 (PA 284 of 1998) -- to authorize bonds for environmental and natural resource protection program.

#### **SUMMARY**

## NOVEMBER 3, 1998 GENERAL ELECTION

PROPOSAL A		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0
Totals	\$	0
Proposal B		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$1,077 \$ \$	7,494.15 380.98 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition		,442.76 ,809.86 0
Totals	\$6,499,	127.75
Proposal C		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$3,319,6 \$ 2,9 \$	067.74 912.00 0
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0
Totals	\$3,321,9	79.74
Grand Total for 1998	\$9,821,10	07.49

For detail, please refer to the following pages.

#### - REPORT DESCRIPTION -

Each separate section provides expenditure information on a particular issue. There are two groups: "Support" and "Oppose."

Within each group, the following is shown:

- The identification number of each committee.
- The name of each committee.
- The total dollar amount expended by each committee.
- The total of in-kind goods and services contributed to each committee.
- The total ending debt of each committee.

If no committee made expenditures, the word "NONE" will appear in place of the identification number.

# EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON NOVEMBER 5, 1998 GENERAL ELECTION STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

<u>ID#</u>	COMMITTEE NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED	IN-KIND RECEIPT	ENDING DEBT
		PROPOSAL A		

#### **SUPPORT**

NONE

#### **OPPOSE**

NONE

#### PROPOSAL B

SUPPOR	T					
507938-9	Merian's Friends	\$1	,074,786.83	\$	380.98	\$ 0
509437-0	John W. English, MD for Proposal B	\$	2,707.32	\$	0	\$ 0
	Total	\$1	,077,494.15	\$ 3	880.98	\$ 0
<u>OPPOSE</u>						
509206-9	Citizens for Compassionate Care	\$5,	360,769.95	\$ 45,8	09.86	\$ 0
509419-8	Mercy Health Services	\$	5,522.35	\$	0	\$ 0
509421-4	Right to Life - Lifespan of Metro Detroit	\$	7,150.46	\$	0	\$ 0
509423-0	Concerned Catholics of the Grand Traverse Area	\$	2,000.00	\$	0	\$ 0
	Total	\$5,3	375,442.76	\$ 45,80	9.86	\$ 0

#### PROPOSAL C

#### **SUPPORT**

509352-1

Clean Michigan Campaign \$3,319,067.74 \$ 2,912.00 0 \$

Total \$3,319,067.74 \$ 2,912.00 \$ 0

#### **OPPOSE**

NONE

#### REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1996 STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

#### - INTRODUCTION -

This report shows expenditures by Ballot Question Committees in support of or opposition to 1996 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures which were used to directly support or oppose the particular issue are shown. Examples would be payments to printing companies, payments to advertising agencies, payments to radio and television stations, staff salaries and travel expenses, etc. Therefore, transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee would not be shown.

Also included are the value of goods and services provided to a committee at no cost to the committee. These include the use of office space and equipment, speakers, and payment of a bill for a committee.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on the expenditures. Any question about a particular entry should be checked against the committee's actual file.

## - NOVEMBER 5, 1996 GENERAL ELECTION -

- Proposal A A referendum on Public Act 118 of 1994 -- An Act to amend certain sections of Michigan's Bingo Act.
- Proposal B A proposal to amend the State Constitution to establish qualifications for judicial offices.
- Proposal C A proposal to establish the current Michigan Veteran's Trust Fund in the state constitution and require that expenditures from the fund be made solely for purposes authorized by the Trust Fund's Board of Trustees.
- Proposal D A legislative initiative to limit bear hunting season and prohibit the use of bait and dogs to hunt bear.
- Proposal E A legislative initiative to permit casino gaming in qualified cities.
- Proposal G A referendum on Public Act 377 of 1996 -- An amendment regarding the management of Michigan's wildlife populations.

## SUMMARY

## NOVEMBER 5, 1996 GENERAL ELECTION

<u>Proposal</u>	A
17 1*	

Expenditures in Support	ф	00.165.00
In-Kind Goods & Services in Support	\$	99,165.20
Ending Debt in Support	\$	2,500.00
= Manage Deat in Support	\$	0
Expenditures in Opposition	\$	674,834.98
In-Kind Goods & Services in Support	\$	
Ending Debt in Support	\$	2,961.63
	<b>D</b>	0
Totals	\$	779,461.81
D ID		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Proposal B		
Expenditures in Support	Ф	
In-Kind Goods & Services in Support	\$	0
Ending Debt in Support	\$	0
Ending Door in Support	\$	0
Expenditures in Opposition	\$	0
In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition		0
Ending Debt in Opposition	\$	0
5 PP 13330L	\$	0
Totals	\$	0
	Ψ	U
Proposal C		
Expenditures in Support		
In-Kind Goods & Services in Support		86,285.82
Ending Debt in Support	\$	0
Ending Debt in Support	\$	0
Expenditures in Opposition	¢	0
In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition	. \$	0
Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$	0
	\$	0
Totals	\$ 8	6,285.82
	¥ 0	·,~ · · · · ·

## 1996 BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES STATISTICS - PROPOSAL E November 5, 1996 GENERAL ELECTION

<b>Position</b>	Comm ID#	<b>Committee Name</b>	Stmt	E	xpenditures		<b>In-Kind</b>	Er	nding Debt
			Type			Co	ontributions		
Proposal	!E								
Oppose	508311-8	Vote No on "E" to	Pre Gen	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,486.67
		keep MI Casino Free	Post Gen	\$	14,760.66	\$	25.60	\$	-
				\$	14,760.66	\$	25.60	\$	6,486.67
Oppose	508332-4	MI Citizens Against the	Pre Gen	\$	4,136.00	\$	-	\$	-
		<b>Expansion of Casino</b>	Post Gen	\$	3,912.00	\$	991.69	\$	-
		Gambling		\$	8,048.00	\$	991.69	\$	-

**Total** \$30,312.62

## PROPOSAL G

<u>ID#</u> SUPPORT	COMMITTEE NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED	IN-KIND RECEIPTS	ENDING DEBT
508345-6	MI Sportsmen's Defense Alliance	\$ . 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
507692-2	Citizens for Professional Wildlife Management	\$907,815.07	\$54,306.70	\$ 0 \$ 0
508336-5	Upper Peninsula Sportsmen's Alliance (UPSA)	\$703,761.63	\$ 0	\$ 0
508337-3	Monroe County Rod & Gun Committee to Defeat Proposal D, Support Proposal G	\$ 2,977.65	\$ 0	\$ 0
508343-1	MI State United Coon Hunters	\$ 1,869.90	\$ 0	\$ 0
508350-6	MI Hunting Dog Federation	\$ 428.24	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total		\$1,616,852.49	\$54,306.70	\$ 0

#### <u>OPPOSE</u>

NONE

## PROPOSAL D

<u>ID#</u> SUPPORT	COMMITTEE NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED	IN-KIND RECEIPTS	ENDING DEBT
507637-7	Citizens United For Bears	\$ 662,755.62	\$649,042.02	\$ 0
<u>OPPOSE</u>				
507692-2	Citizens for Professional Wildlife Management	\$ 910,104.04	\$ 54,306.59	\$ 0
508336-5	Upper Peninsula Sportsmen's Alliance (UPSA)	\$ 203,717.28	\$ 0	\$ 0
508337-3	Monroe County Rod & Gun Committee to Defeat Proposal D, Support Proposal G	\$ 2,977.67	\$ 0	\$ 0
508343-1	MI State Coon Hunters	.\$ 0	\$ 0	φ .
508345-6	MI Sportsmen's Defense Alliance	\$ 238,982.80	\$ 0	\$ 0
508350-6	MI Hunting Dog Federation	\$ 428.24	\$ 0	\$102,000.00
Total		\$1,356.210.03	\$ 54,306.59	\$102,000.00

# EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON NOVEMBER 5, 1996 GENERAL ELECTION STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

## PROPOSAL A

ID# SUPPORT	COMMITTEE NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED	IN-KIND RECEIPT	ENDING DEBT
507456-2	Bingo Coalition for Charity - Not Politics	\$ 99,165.20	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 0
508325-8	Citizens for A Better Wayne County	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total		\$ 99,165.20	\$2,500.00	\$ 0
<u>OPPOSE</u>				
507509-8	B.I.N.G.O	\$674,834.98	\$2,961.63	\$ 0

## REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1994 STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUES

#### - INTRODUCTION -

This report shows expenditures by Ballot Question Committees in support of or opposition to 1992 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures which were used to directly support or oppose the particular issue are shown. Examples would be payments to printing companies, payments to advertising agencies, payments to radio and television stations, staff salaries and travel expenses, etc. Therefore, transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee would not be shown.

Also included are the value of goods and services provided to a committee at no cost to the committee. These include the use of office space and equipment, speakers, and payment of a bill for a committee.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on the expenditures. Any question about a particular entry should be checked against the committee's actual file.

#### - MARCH 15, 1994 SPECIAL ELECTION -

and the state of t

#### PROPOSAL A 1 Section of the property of the control of the control

A proposal to increase the state sales and use tax rates from 4% to 6%, limit annual increases in property tax assessments, exempt school operating millages from uniform taxation requirement and require 3/4 vote of legislature to exceed statutorily established school operating millage rates.

#### - NOVEMBER 8, 1994 GENERAL ELECTION -

#### PROPOSAL A

A proposal to convene a constitutional convention for the purpose of drafting a general revision of the state constitution.

#### PROPOSAL B

A proposal to limit criminal appeals.

#### SUMMARY

#### MARCH 15, 1994 SPECIAL ELECTION

## PROPOSAL A

	Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ 1,276,181.37 \$ 288.84 \$ -0-
	Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 5,035,878.51 \$ 119,786.68 \$ -0-
Totals		\$ 6,432,135.40
	NOVEMBER 8, 1994 GENERAL ELECTION	

PROPOSAL	LA		
-	enditures in Support	\$	-0-
	ind Goods & Services in Support	\$	-0-
Endi	ng Debt in Support	2	-0-
Expe	enditures in Opposition	\$	2,352.30
	ind Goods & Services in Opposition	\$	950.00
	ng Debt in Opposition	\$	-0-
Totals		\$	3,302.30
PROPOSAL	. В		
Expe	nditures in Support	\$	3,625.99
•	nd Goods & Services in Support	\$	-0-
	ng Debt in Support	\$	-0-
Cons	ndiguras in Opposition	s	-0-
	nditures in Opposition  nd Goods & Services in Opposition	Š	-Ô-
		Š	<u>.</u>
Endir	ng Debt in Opposition	¥	
Totals		\$	3,625.99

# EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON MARCH 15, 1994 STATEWIDE SPECIAL ELECTION BALLOT ISSUES

#### PROPOSAL A

ID#	COMMITTEE NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED	IN-KIND <u>RECEIPTS</u>	ENDING <u>DEBT</u>
SUPPORT				-
2064-4	RPAC II	\$ 29,976.89	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
2640-1	New Detroit Ballot Issue Committee	\$ 1,030.97	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
506855-6	The Right Plan for Michigan Committee	\$1,236,442.01	\$ 288.84	\$ -0-
506942-2	Committee for Proposal A - Southern Wayne County Chamber of Commerce	\$ 3,350.00	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
506948-9	MI Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee	\$ 5.381.50	\$ -0-	
Total		\$1,276,181.37	\$ 288.84	\$ -0-
OPPOSE				
505851-5	Michigan Citizens for Fair Taxes	\$ 5,035,878.51	\$ 119,786.68	\$ -0-

#### PROPOSAL B

ID#	COMMITTEE NAME		AMOUNT <u>EXPENDED</u>	IN-KIND RECEIPTS	ENDING DEBT
SUPPORT					
501528-4	MI Chamber PAC II		\$ 1,000.00	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
507564-3	Yes on B	es Conservation	\$ 2.625.99	<u>\$ -0-</u>	<u>\$ -0-</u>
Total			\$ 3,625.99	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
<b>OPPOSE</b>					
NONE					

## PROPOSAL C (Continued)

ID#	COMMITTEE NAME	AMOUNT EXPENDED	IN-KIND RECEIPTS	ENDING <u>DEBT</u>
<b>OPPOSE</b>		÷		
506593-3	Michigan Deserves Better	\$ 196,847.71	\$ -0-	\$ 1,414.85
506810-1	Committee for Auto Insurance Reform (CAIR)	\$ 149,495.92	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
506811-9	MI Citizens for Insurance Reform	\$ 5,377,905.52	\$ 335,719.34	\$ -0-
507500-7	Citizens for Affordable Auto Insurance	\$ 87.929.00	<b>\$</b> 41,433,92	\$ -0-
	Total .	\$ 5,812,178.15	\$ 377,153.26	\$ 1,414.85
	PRO	POSAL P		
SUPPORT				
501528-4	MI Chamber PAC II	\$ 500.00	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
507037-0	People for the Parks	\$ 143.638.97	\$ -0-	\$0-
	Total	\$ 144,138.97	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
<b>OPPOSE</b>				
NONE				

# REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1992 BALLOT ISSUES

#### -- INTRODUCTION --

This report shows expenditures by ballot question committees in support of or in opposition to 1992 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures which were used to directly support or oppose the particular issue are shown. Examples would be payments to printing companies, payments to advertising agencies, payments to radio or television stations, staff salaries, etc. Therefore, transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee would not be shown.

Also included are the value of goods and services provided to a committee at no cost to the committee. These include the use of office space and equipment, speakers or the payment of a bill for a committee.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on these expenditures. Any question about a particular entry should be checked against the committee's actual file.

#### -- THE 1992 BALLOT ISSUES --

There were four proposals on the 1992 General Election ballot. They were:

#### Proposal A

A proposal to limit annual increases in homestead property tax assessments and provide separate tax limitation for different property classifications.

#### Proposal B

A proposal to restrict/limit the number of times a person can be elected to congressional, state executive and state legislative offices.

#### Proposal C

A proposal to exempt property from a portion of school operating property taxes and limit annual increases in all property tax assessments.

#### Proposal D

A proposal to amend Michigan's auto insurance laws.

#### -- REPORT DESCRIPTION --

Each separate section provides expenditure information on a particular issue. There are two groups: "Support" and "Oppose."

Within each group, the following is shown:

- The identification number of each committee.
- The name of each committee.
- The total dollar amount expended by each committee.
- The total of in-kind goods and services contributed to each committee.
- The total ending debt of each committee.

If no committee made expenditures, the word "None" will appear in place of the identification number.

#### Summary

Proposal A:		
Expenditures in Support	\$	0
Proposal B:		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ \$ 	755,118.85 88,024.00 0 843,142.85
Proposal C:		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services In Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ 1, \$ \$ \$ 1,	918,852.52 16,076.20 43,208.32 978,137.04
Proposal D:		
Expenditures in Support In-Kind Goods & Services in Support Ending Debt in Support	\$ 5,5 \$ \$ \$ 5,9	349,705.66 585,108.49 49,541.06 184,355.21
Proposals A and C Combined:		
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 1,6 \$ \$ 2,0	64,235.79 82,853.30 70,169.18 17,258.27
Proposal-B:		
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ \$	26,136.47 52,925.44 0 79,061.91
Proposal D:		
Expenditures in Opposition In-Kind Goods & Services in Opposition Ending Debt in Opposition	\$ 5 \$_ 21	2,248.84 6,035.60 9,898.90 8,183.34
Totals	\$14,02	0,138.62

<sup>\*</sup> For detail, refer to the following pages.

## Expenditures By Ballot Question Committees On 1992 Statewide Ballot Issues

## Proposal A

<u>ID #</u>	<u>Committee Name</u>	Amount <u>Expended</u>	In-Kind <u>Recei</u> pts	Ending
Support: Both	Proposile A A A		vecethrz	<u>Debt</u>

Support: Both Proposals A & C were property tax proposals. Proposal A was a House Joint Resolution placed on the ballot by the legislature. There was no sponsoring committee supporting the proposal.

#### Proposal B

ID # <u>Support</u> :	<u>Committee Name</u>	Amount <u>Expended</u>		In-Kind <u>Receipts</u>		Ending <u>Debt</u>	
505984-5	Campaign to Limit Politicians' Terms	\$	258,476.63	£ 00	004.00		
503657-9		Ψ	230, 470.03	) 88,	024.00	\$	0
000007	Vote Yes on Proposal B	\$	472,048.16	\$	0	¢	•
505489-5	Taxpayers Association of Michigan		,	•		\$	0
	michigan of Michigan	\$	24,594.06	\$	0	\$	0
	Total	\$	755,118.85	\$ 88,	024.00	\$	0

## Proposal B

0
0
0
_

## Proposal C

<u>ID #</u> Support:	<u>Committee Name</u>		ount <u>pended</u>	In-Kir <u>Recei</u> r		Endin <u>Debt</u>	g
506009-0	Citizens to Cut and Cap Your Property Taxes	\$ 1	,840,325.82	\$ 16,0	176 20	<b>6</b> 40	200
002064-4	Realtors PAC II			Ψ 10, C	770.20	\$ 43,	208.32
501528-4		\$	58,511.79	\$	0	\$	0
301320-4	MI Chamber PAC II	\$	3,361.58	\$	0	\$	0
504439-1	North Oakland County Board of				•	4	U
	Realtors Ballot Question Committee	\$	3,026.00	\$	0	\$	0

## Proposal C

<u>ID #</u> <u>Support</u> : (Conti	<u>Committee Name</u> nues)		Amount <u>Expended</u>		*11 IX 11 IQ		Ending <u>Debt</u>		
506010-8	MI Chamber of Commerce		\$	4,481.03	\$	0	•		
506029-8	Michigan Action Group		\$	7,700.00	\$	0	<b>\$</b>	0	
506635-2	Saginaw Board of Realtors		\$	1,446.30	\$	0	<b>\$</b>	0	
		Total	\$ 1,918,852.52			076.20	\$  \$ 43,2	0 208.32	

## Proposal A and Proposal C

All committees reporting opposition to Proposal A also opposed Proposal C

	The second reporting opposition to Proposal A also opposed Proposal C								
<u>ID #</u> Oppose:	<u>Committee Name</u>	Amount <u>Expended</u>		Amount In-Kind		Amount		Endin <u>Debt</u>	g
506551-1	Advance Michigan	\$ 1	1,562,154.32	\$ 82,	853.30	\$270.	169.18		
002640-1	New Detroit Ballot Issues Committee	\$	14,213.68	\$	0	\$			
503713-0	Michigan Education Association Ballot Issues Committee			•	v	Ψ	0		
F05505 0		\$	73,787.00	\$	0	\$	0		
506606-3	Macomb Citizens Against A & C	\$	8,435.92	\$	0	\$	0		
506611-3	Homeowners for Straight Talk On Taxes (HOSTT)	\$	5,644.87	\$	0	\$ .	0		
	Total	Fotal \$ 1,664,2		\$ 1,664,235.79		\$ 82,	853.30	\$270,169.18	

Prop 1 D

ID # Support:	<u>Committee Name</u>	Amount <u>Expended</u>	In-Kind <u>Receipts</u>	Ending <u>Debt</u>
anhorr:	,			
506151-0	Automobile Club of Michigan Committee for Lower Rates Now	\$ 4,726,547.13	\$580,630.33	\$ n
506604-8	Citizens Committee for Consumer Choice and Cost Containment	\$ 84,015.00		
506599-0	Agents for Lower Insurance Rates	\$ 24,648.24	\$ 4,478.16 \$ 0	\$ 49,541.06 \$ 0
506601-4	Transamerica for D	\$ 37,348.00	\$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 0
506607-1	Auto-Owners for Insurance Reform	\$ 243,696.24	\$ 0	\$ 0
506613-9	Motors Insurance Corporation Ballot Question Committee (MIC)	\$ 52,839.00	\$ 0	\$ 0
506619-6	Michigan Millers for Insurance Reform Committee	\$ 10,887.78		
506622-0	Amerisure Proposal D Committee	\$ 4,218.55	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0
506625-3	Allstate for Proposal D	\$ 165,505.72	\$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 0
	Total	\$ 5,349,705.66	\$585,108.49	\$ 49,541.06
<u>ID #</u> <u>Oppose</u> :	<u>Committee Name</u>	Amount <u>Expended</u>	In-Kind <u>Receipts</u>	Ending <u>Debt</u>
002640-1	New Detroit Ballot Issues Committee	\$ 7.106.84	•	
506537-0	MCL Campaign for Real Rate Reform	7,200.01	\$ 0	\$ 0
506538-8	Fairness and Accountability in	\$ 100,001.12	\$ 0	\$ 1,274.20
	Insurance Reform (FAIR)	\$ 2,065,294.28	\$ 55,999.77	\$ 218,624.70

# Prof 1 D

ID #  Oppose: (Contin	<u>Committee Name</u> ues)	Amount <u>Expended</u>	In-Kind <u>Receipts</u>	Ending <u>Debt</u>	
506593-3	Michigan Deserves Better	\$ 20,559.69	\$ 35.83	•	
506636-0	Michigan Hospital Association		φ 35.63	\$	0
F0.550 m -	"D" Doesn't Cut It	\$ 42,121.17	\$ 0	\$	0
506637-8	Proposal D - Educational Task Force	\$ 7,165.74	\$ o	\$	0
	Totale	\$ 2,242,248.84	\$ 56,035.60	\$ 219,898	.90

# REPORT DESCRIPTION EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1988 BALLOT ISSUES

#### -- INTRODUCTION --

This report shows expenditures by ballot question committees in support of or in opposition to 1988 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures which were used to directly support or oppose the particular issue are shown. Examples would be payments to printing companies, payments to advertising agencies, payments to radio or television stations, staff salaries, etc. Therefore, transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee would not be shown.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on these expenditures. Any question about a particular entry should be checked against the committee's actual file.

#### -- THE 1988 BALLOT ISSUES --

There were four proposals on the 1988 General Election ballot. They were:

#### Proposal A

A proposal to restrict use of tax funds for abortions for persons receiving public assistance.

#### Proposal B

A proposal to include crime victims' rights in the constitution.

#### Proposal C

A proposal to authorize bonds for environmental protection programs.

#### Proposal D

A proposal to authorize bonds for state and local recreation projects.

#### -- REPORT DESCRIPTION --

Each separate section provides expenditure information on a particular issue. There are two groups: "Support" and "Oppose."

Within each group, the following is shown:

- The identification number of each committee
- The name of each committee.
- The total dollar amount expended by each committee.

If no committees made expenditures, the word "none" will appear in place of the identification number.

# STATE PROPOSALS GENERAL ELECTION, NOVEMBER 8, 1988

#### PROPOSAL A

RESTRICT USE OF TAX FUNDS FOR ABORTIONS FOR PERSONS RECEIVING PUBLIC ASSISTANCE

Public Act 59 of 1987 is a law that states that tax funds shall not be used to pay for an abortion for a person receiving public assistance unless necessary to save the life of the mother.

Should the law be approved?

#### PROPOSAL B

PROPOSAL TO INCLUDE CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS IN THE CONSTITUTION

The proposal would amend the constitution to provide:

- 1) That crime victims shall have certain rights throughout the criminal justice process.
- 2) That crime victims' rights, as provided by law, shall be the right to be treated with fairness and respect for their dignity and privacy; be reasonably protected from the accused; be notified of court proceedings and attend trials; confer with prosecution and make a statement to court at sentencing; restitution; timely disposition of the case; and information about conviction, sentence, imprisonment and release of accused.
- 3) That legislature may enact laws to enforce crime victims' rights and provide for assessments against convicted defendants to pay for crime victims' rights.

Should the proposed amendment be adopted?

#### PROPOSAL C

PROPOSAL TO AUTHORIZE BONDS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROGRAMS

The proposal would:

- Authorize the State of Michigan to borrow a sum not to exceed \$660 million dollars to finance environmental protection programs that would clean up sites of toxic and other environmental contamination, contribute to a regional Great Lakes protection fund, address solid waste problems, treat sewage and other water quality problems, reuse industrial sites and preserve open space; and
- 2) Authorize the state to issue general obligation bonds pledging the full faith and credit of the state for payment of principal and interest on the bonds; and
- 3) Provide for repayment of the bonds from the general fund.

Should this proposal be approved?

#### PROPOSAL D

PROPOSAL TO AUTHORIZE BONDS FOR STATE AND LOCAL RECREATION PROJECTS

The proposal would:

- 1) Authorize the State of Michigan to borrow a sum not to exceed \$140 million dollars to finance state and local public recreation projects; and
- 2) Authorize the state to issue general obligation bonds pledging the full faith and credit of the state for payment of principal and interest on the bonds; and
- 3) Provide for repayment of the bonds from the general fund.

Should this proposal be approved?



# EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1988 BALLOT ISSUES

#### PROPOSAL A

	I D Number	Committee Name	Amount Expended	Ending Debts
Support:	503464-0	Committee to End Tax - Funded Abortions	\$2,840,933.67	\$445,131.18
	503488-9	Friends of the Unborn	\$ 40,644.78	\$ 13,977.80
	504339-3	Committee of Justice for the Unborn	\$ 21,606.61	\$
	Total		\$2,903,185.06	\$459,108.98
Oppose:	503479-8	People's Campaign for Choice	\$1,304,354.88	\$174,043.83
	504254-4	NOW Equality PAC	\$ 1,607.00	\$ -0-
	504736-0	Committee for Justice in Health Care	\$963.50	\$
	Total		\$1,306,925.38	\$174,043.83
		PROPOSAL B	•	
Support:	504305-4	Victim's Organized Toward Equity (VOTE)	\$ 3,459.00	\$ -0-
Oppose:	Noné			

## PROPOSAL C

			Amount Expended	Ending Debts
Support:	504431-8	Public Interest Research Group	\$ 11,140.32	\$ -0-
Oppose	None			

#### PROPOSAL D

## Support - Proposals C & D

502070-6	Promote Michigan Committee	\$ 395,715.87	\$ -()-
504733-7	Committee to Pass Proposals C & D	\$ 5,286.12	\$ -0-
504837-6	The Better Michigan Committee	\$ 4,524.02	\$ -0-
Total		\$ 404,526.01	\$ -0-
Total C & D		\$ 415,666.33	\$ -0-

Oppose: None

# EXPENDITURES BY BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES ON 1986 BALLOT ISSUES

#### -- INTRODUCTION --

This report shows expenditures by ballot question committees in support of or in opposition to 1986 statewide ballot issues.

Only expenditures which were used to directly support or oppose the particular issue are shown. Examples would be payments to printing companies, payments to advertising agencies, payments to radio to TV stations, etc. Therefore, administrative costs or transfers from one ballot question committee to another committee would not be shown.

Any ballot question committee which was registered and made expenditures in support of or in opposition to a 1986 ballot issue is listed on this report.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate and complete information on these expenditures. Any question about a particular entry should be checked against the committee's actual file.

#### -- THE 1986 BALLOT ISSUES --

There were three proposals on the 1986 General Election ballot. They were:

#### Proposal A.

A proposal to allow for the establishment of the library of Michigan within the State Legislature.

#### Proposal B.

A proposal allowing for the approval or rejection of administrative rules by the State Legislature.

#### Proposal C.

A proposal to expand the authority of the State Officers Compensation Commission.

#### -- REPORT DESCRIPTION --

Each separate page provides expenditure information on a particular issue. There are two groups: "Support" and "Oppose."

Within each group, the following is shown:

- The Identification number of each committee.

- The name of each committee.
- The total dollar amount expended by each committee.

If no committees made expenditures, the word "none" will appear in place of the identification number.

#### PROPOSAL A

# Allow for the Establishment of the Library within the State Legislature

ID Number

Committee Name

Amount Expended

Support:

503322-0

Committee to Support

\$1,455.00

Michigan's Libraries

(Proposal A)

Oppose:

None

#### PROPOSAL B

Allowing for the Approval or Rejection of Administrative Rules By the State Legislature

ID Number

Committee Name

Amount Expended

Support:

None

Oppose:

None

#### PROPOSAL C

To Expand the Authority of the State Officers Compensation Commission

ID Number

Committee Name

Amount Expended

Support:

None

Oppose:

None

#### 1984 BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES

The following represents all expenditures made by Ballot Question Committees for the 1984 election.

The figures shown represent only those expenditures made from the committee to an outside source (printer, advertising agency, etc.) and do not include those made from one committee to another.

Inclusion of the latter amounts would unrealistically inflate the totals.

On the 1984 General Election ballot were 3 proposals; A, B & C. The specific language of these proposals was as follows:

The following is the official ballot wording:

# PROPOSAL A

A PROPOSAL TO ALLOW THE LEGISLATURE TO APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The proposed amendment would:

Provide for the legislature or a joint committee of the legislature to approve or disapprove administrative rules written by state agencies to implement state law before the rules may be formally adopted by the agency as law, in a manner now or at a later date provided by law.

Should the proposed amendment be adopt 4?

YES	
МО	

The following is the official ballot wording:

# PROPOSAL B

A PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH A NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND AND A BOARD TO ADMINISTER IT, TO PROVIDE REVENUES FOR IT FROM NATURAL RESOURCE LEASES, AND EXISTING FUNDS AND TO SPECIFY AND LIMIT THE EXPENDITURES THEREFROM

The proposed amendment would:

- Establish a Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund to be funded by certain royalties, bonuses and rentals collected by the state from the drilling of oil and gas or mining of minerals on state-owned land.
- 2. Require that earnings from the Trust Fund be used only for:
  - a. purchasing land or rights in land:
    - (1) for public recreation;
    - (2) of environmental importance; or
    - (3) of particular scenic beauty;
  - b. developing public recreation facilities;
  - c. administering the Natural Resources Trust Fund.
- 3. Require creation of a Natural Resources Trust Fund Board to recommend projects to be approved by the legislature.

Should the proposed amendment be adopted?

YES	
NO	

The following is the official ballot wording:

# PROPOSAL C

A PROPOSAL TO AMEND ARTICLE 9, SECTIONS 1 AND 2 OF THE CONSTITUTION RELATING TO TAXES, OTHER REVENUES AND VOTER OR LEGISLATIVE APPROVAL OF SAME

The proposed amendment would:

- 1. Prohibit changes in the base or rate of any state or local tax which would increase its revenue yield, or adoption of new taxes, unless approved by voters.
- 2. Make void 90 days after the adoption of amendment:
  - a. new or increased rate or base of state or local taxes since 12/31/81 unless/until approved by voters;
  - b. new or increased license, user or permit fees since 12/31/81 unless/until approved by voters or 4/5 vote of legislative body adopting same.
- 3. Limit nonresident local political subdivision income tax to 1/2 of 1%.
- 4. Require tax proposals to state purpose, total anticipated amount and expiration date.

Should the amendment be adopted?

YES	
NO	

## PROPOSAL A: ALLOW LEGISLATURE TO APPROVE/DISAPPROVE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

#### Support:

502202-5 502544-0	Citizens for Proposal A Comcast Cablevision for Proposal A	\$106,199 23,425
502543-2 502538-2	Continental Cablevision for Proposal A Group W Cable for Proposal A	913
	TOTAL	\$131 152

#### Reporting Waiver Committees in Support:

502549-9	Cable Vision for Proposal A
502539-0	North Ottawa Cable for Proposal A
502545-7	Tribune - United for Proposal A

Oppose:

None

#### PROPOSAL B: NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND

_					
Su	nn	. ~	· .	+	
эu.	υu	()		L.	

502266-0	Recreation Land Trust Committee	\$ 33,015
	TOTAL	\$ 33,015
Oppose:		
502486-4	Concerned Citizens for the Wise Use	
502434-4	of Your Resources Political Education and Action Cooperative	\$ 10,587 296
	TOTAL	\$ 10.883

## PROPOSAL C: VOTERS CHOICE

Support:			
502250-4 502569-7 502573-9 501523-5 502277-7	American Tax Reduction Movem Gannett Ballot Question Comm Rich B.Q.C. Voter's Choice Voter's Choice - Proposal C		\$ 21,586 23,175 1,549 33,540 168,902
		TOTAL	\$248,752
Oppose:			
502474-0 502515-0 502572-1 502321-3 502361-9 502327-0 502567-1 502309-8 502385-8 502329-6 502542-4 502332-0 502541-6 502593-7 502537-4 002606-2 502362-7 502317-1 501840-3 502263-7 502276-9 002640-1 502434-4 502383-3 502070-6 502510-1 502330-4 501595-3	Alma Proposal "C" Committee Berkley Area PTA Council Central B.Q.C. Citizens Against Proposal C Citizens Against Proposal C Citizens Against Proposal C Citizens for Education Citizens for Responsible Gove Citizens Providing Informatio Coalition Against Proposal C Comcast Cablevision Against P Committee Against Proposal C I7-A Coordinating Council Continental Cablevision Again Dingeman B.Q.C. Group W Cable Against Proposa Jackson Co. Education Associa Ballot Issues Committee Kent Voters United to Promote MASB B.Q.C. Michigan Education Associatio Michigan Retailers Associatio Michigan Retailers Associatio Michigan Road Builders Associa Michigan Road Builders Associa New Detroit Ballot Issues Comp Political Education and Action Promote Detroit-Vote No on C ( Promote Michigan Responsible Citizens for Educa Transportation Survival Group 15-B School Employees B.Q.C.	on on Proposal (17-B CC) Proposal C - est Proposal C I C tion Michigan n n B.Q.C. ation B.Q.C. mittee n Cooperative Committee	\$ 91 786 1,392 621 1,581 1,325 816 2,094 C 1,338 8,647 8,500 2,380 1,684 5,630 1,380 3,417 7,363 681 332,015 4,132 225 2,565 296 19,992 1,236,178 620 7,500 360
	. •	TOTAL	\$1,653,609
			•

#### PROPOSAL C: VOTERS CHOICE (cont.)

#### Reporting Waiver Committees in Opposition:

502484-9 502540-8 502366-8 502550-7 502608-2 502416-1 502441-9 502516-8 502440-1 502536-6 502374-2 502534-1	AFSCME Local 1061 B.Q.C. Ann Arbor Cablevision Against Proposal C Bark River - Harris Coalition Against Proposal C Cable Vision Against Proposal C Childrens Aid Society B.Q.C. Friends of Education Genesee County Taxpayers Against Proposal C Meijer B.Q.C. Menominee Coalition Against Proposal C Metrovision Against Proposal C North Dickinson Coalition Against Proposal C North Ottawa Cable Against Proposal C
502375-9	Norway-Vulcan Coalition Against Proposal C
502353-6	Rapid River Coalition Against Proposal C
502386-6	Rock River - Limestone Education Association Committee Against Proposal C
502382-5	Stephenson Coalition Against Proposal C
502535-8	Tribune-United Against Proposal C

#### 1982 Overall Expenditures On Statewide Ballot Questions

The attached table shows our tabulation of the amounts spent for and against each proposal on the 1982 General Election ballot. These amounts are the total of direct expenditures, in-kind expenditures, and independent expenditures, all made to directly influence the voters. They do not include contributions or transfers from one committee or entity to another committee.

# OVERALL EXPENDITURES ON STATEWIDE BALLOT QUESTIONS

PROPOSAL		PRO	CON	TOTAL
A	\$	250	\$ 00	\$ 25.0
В	\$	388,185	\$ 28,684	\$ 366,869
. C	\$	193,299	\$ 232,121	\$ 425,420
D	\$	38,785	\$4,400,480	\$4,439,265
E	\$	187,042	\$ 0	\$ 187,042
G	\$	22,167	\$1,699,367	\$1,721,534
Н	\$1	,209,418	\$ 38,785	\$1,248,203
			TOTAL	\$8,388,583

#### 1982 Ballot Question Committees

The attached sheets show the total amount Ballot Question Committees expended to support and oppose the 1982 Ballot Proposals through November 22, 1982 as reported on their Campaign Statements.

- Expenditures by Ballot Question Committees that transfer funds to other Ballot Question Committees are not included in the totals.
- In-kind contributions and in-kind expenditures are included in the expenditure totals.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate figures but errors may be present. Always return to the reports for confirmation of the data reported here.

## PROPOSAL A -- REFORM LEGISLATIVE IMMUNITY

#### Support

People to Reform Legislative Immunity

Dir	ect	<u>In-</u>	kind	Indep	endent
\$	150	\$	100	\$	0

#### <u>Oppose</u>

None

#### PROPOSAL B -- STATE POLICE MINIMUM STAFFING

#### Support

Committee for State Police Minimum Staffing Michigan State Police Troopers Association, Inc.

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>		Independer	
\$338,005	\$	180	\$	0

#### Oppose

Concerned Taxpayers for Law Enforcement, Inc.
Huron County Sheriff's Department
Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Department
Kent County Deputy Sheriff's Ballot Committee
Lenawee County Sheriff's Department
Members & Friends of Wexford County Sheriff Department
Michigan Sheriff's Association, Inc.
Ottawa County Deputy Sheriff's Association
Roscommon County Deputy Sheriff's Association

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>		Independent	
\$ 26,553	\$	0	\$ 2,131	

#### Support

Alpena Consumers Committee Bay City Consumers Committee Consumers Committee to Protect Mortgage Rights Detroit Bond & Mortgage Investment Company Grand Blanc Consumers Committee Grand Rapids Consumers Committee Kalamazoo Consumers Committee Lansing Consumers Committee Mount Pleasant Consumers Committee Port Huron Consumers Committee

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>	Independent
,\$191,894	\$ 0	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 405

#### Oppose

Battle Creek Committee to Save Michigan Homeowners Brighton State Bank Ballot Question Committee (The) -Citizens Commercial Savings Bank Ballot Question Committee Committee to Save Michigan Homeowners Detroit & Northern Savings Ballot Question Committee Detroit & Northern Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Eaton Federal Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Family Federal Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee First Federal of Michigan Ballot Question Committee First Federal Savings & Loan Association of Oakland Ballot Question Committee First Federal Savings & Loan of Dearborn Ballot Question Committee First Midland Bank & Trust Company Ballot Question Committee First Savings Association of Ypsilanti Great Lakes Federal Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Guaranty Federal Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Livingston County Board of Realtors Ballot Question Committee Michigan National Corporation Ballot Question Committee Michigan Savings & Loan League Ballot Question Committee Minnesota Mutual Life Ballot Question Committee

Northwest Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Ottawa Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Peoples Federal Savings & Loan Association of Monroe Peoples National Bank & Trust Company of Bay City Ballot:Question Committee Peoples Saving Association Ballot Question Committee Security Bancorp Inc. Ballot Question Committee Security Savings & Loan Association Ballot Question Committee Shiawassee County Committee to Save Michigan Homeowners SNB of Saginaw Ballot Question Committee Southern Michigan National Bank of Coldwater Ballot Question Committee (The) Standard Federal Savings & Loan Ballot Question Committee Sturgis Savings & Loan Ballot Question Committee Three Rivers Savings & Loan Ballot Question Committee United Federal Savings & Loan Association of Saginaw Ballot Question Committee

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>	Independent	
\$160,210	\$ 30,361	\$ 41,550	

#### PROPOSAL D -- ELIMINATE AUTOMATIC UTILITY RATE INCREASES

#### Support

Michigan Citizens Lobby

غ	Direct	In-Kind	Indep	endent
	\$23,636	\$15,149	\$	0

#### Oppose

Alpena Power

American Natural Resources Company Ballot Issues Committee

Barfield Building Maintenance

Bechtel Power Corporation

Builders Association of Southeast Michigan

Canonie Construction Company

Citizens for Michigan Jobs & Energy

Citizens Gas Fuel Company

Cloverland Electric Co-op

Commonwealth Associates

Consumers Power Company Ballot Question Committee

Daniel International

Detroit Edison Ballot Question Committee

Dow Corning

Duke & Duke

Durako Paint & Color Corporation

Eaton Corporation

Edison Sault Electric Company

ERSCO

Federal Industrial Services

Fishchbach Natkin Company

General Aluminum Products, Inc.

General Motors Corporation Ballot Question Committee

General Telephone

Giffels Associates, Inc.

Great Lakes Water Blasting, Inc.

Greenville Products

Indiana & Michigan Electric Company

Kent Moore Corporation

Labor for Michigan Jobs & Energy

Lake Superior District Power Company

Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ballot Question Committee)

Michigan Consolidated Gas Company Ballot Question Committee

Michigan Electric Cooperative Association

Michigan for a Better Tomorrow

Michigan Gas Utilities Company

Michigan Power Company

Michigan Shade Tree Corporation

Mueller & Carnago

NG Gilbert Corporation

Northern Michigan Electric Co-op

Northern Michigan Exploration Company

O & A Electric Co-op

Oceana Electric Co-op

Pontiac State Bank

Proposal D (Con't.)
Page 2

#### Oppose

Silver's SNB Bank & Trust Southeast Michigan Gas Company Southeastern Michigan Rural Electric Co-op Taylor Engineering Thumb Electric Co-op Top O' Michigan Rural Electric Co-op Total Building Services Inc. Townsend & Bottum, Inc. Tri-County Electric Co-op Upper Peninsula Power Company Wackenhut Corporation Walbridge Aldinger Company Western Michigan Electric Co-op Wisconsin Electric Power Company Wisconsin Public Service Corporation Wismer & Becker Wolverine Electric Co-op Wyman Gordon Company

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>	Independent
\$28,585	\$89,547	\$4,282,348

#### PROPOSAL E -- NUCLEAR WEAPONS FREEZE

#### Support

Alpena Nuclear Weapons Freeze Committee Battle Creek Area Nuclear Freeze Committee Bay Area Peace Coalition Berrien County Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze Capitol Area Educators for Improved Education CD8 - Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze Copper Country Citizens for a Nuclear Arms Freeze Detroit Area Nuclear Freeze Campaign Greater Lansing Area Nuclear Weapons Freeze Campaign Committee Institute for Global Education Kalamazoo Area - Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze - Monroe County Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze - Clearinghouse Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze - Washtenaw Campaign Mid-Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze Midland Area Nuclear Weapons Freeze Campaign The Flint Michigan Nuclear Weapons Freeze Campaign Traverse Bay Area Nuclear Weapons Freeze Campaign Upper Peninsula Citizens for the Freeze

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>	Independent
\$180,003	\$ 4,643	\$ 2,396

#### Oppose

None

#### PROPOSAL G -- ELECTED PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### Support

ACORN "YES, I want lower utility bills!" Committee Citizens' Committee to Elect our Public Service Commission

Direct	In-Kind		Independent	
\$22,167	\$	0	\$	0

#### Oppose

Alpena Power

American Natural Resources Company Ballot Issues Committee

Barfield Building Maintenance Company

Bechtel Power Corporation

Builders Association of Southeast Michigan

Canonie Construction Company

Citizens Gas Fuel Company

Cloverland Electric Co-op

Commonwealth Associates

Consumers Power Company Ballot Question Committee

Daniel International

Detroit Edison Ballot Question Committee

Dow Corning

Duke & Duke

Durako Paint & Color Corporation

Eaton Corporation

Edison Sault Electric Company

ERSCO

Federal Industrial Services

Fishchbach Natkin Company

General Aluminum Products, Inc.

General Motors Corporation Ballot Question Committee

General Telephone

Giffels Associates Inc.

Great Lakes Water Blasting, Inc.

Greenville Products

Indiana & Michigan Electric Company

Kent Moore Corporation

Labor for Michigan Jobs & Energy

Lake Superior District Power Company

Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ballot Question Committee)

Michigan Consolidated Gas Company Ballot Question Committee

Michigan Electric Cooperative Association

Michigan for a Better Tomorrow

Michigan Gas Utilities Company

Michigan Power Company

Michigan Shade Tree Corporation

Mueller & Carnago

NG Gilbert Corporation

Northern Michigan Electric Co-op

Northern Michigan Exploration Company

O & A Electric Co-op

Oceana Electric Co-op

Pontiac State Bank

Proposal G (Con't.)
Page 2

#### Oppose

Silver's SNB Bank & Trust Southeast Michigan Gas Company Southeastern Michigan Rural Electric Co-op Taylor Engineering Thumb Electric Co-op Top O' Michigan Rural Electric Co-op Total Building Services, Inc. Townsend & Bottum, Inc. Tri-County Electric Co-op Upper Peninsula Power Company Voters for Responsible Regulation Wackenhut Corporation Walbridge Aldinger Company Western Michigan Electric Co-op Wisconsin Electric Power Company Wisconsin Public Service Corporation Wismer & Becker Wolverine Electric Co-op Wyman Gordon Company

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>	Independent
\$28,585	\$47,259	\$1,623,523

#### Support

Alpena Power American Natural Resources Company Ballot Issues Committee Barfield Building Maintenance Company Bechtel Power Corporation Builders Association of Southeast Michigan Canonie Construction Company Citizens for Michigan Jobs & Energy Citizens Gas Fuel Company Cloverland Electric Co-op Commonwealth Associates Consumers Power Company Ballot Question Committee Daniel International Detroit Edison Ballot Question Committee Dow Corning Duke & Duke Durako Paint & Color Corporation Eaton Corporation Edison Sault Electric Company ERSCO Federal Industrial Services Fishchbach Natkin Company General Aluminum Products, Inc. General Motors Corporation Ballot Question Committee General Telephone Giffels Associates, Inc. Great Lakes Water Blasting, Inc. Greenville Products Indiana & Michigan Electric Company Kent Moore Corporation Labor for Michigan Jobs & Energy Lake Superior District Power Company Michigan Bell Telephone Company (Ballot Question Committee) Michigan Consolidated Gas Company Ballot Question Committee Michigan Electric Cooperative Association Michigan for a Better Tomorrow Michigan Gas Utilities Company Michigan Power Company Michigan Shade Tree Corporation Mueller & Carnago NG Gilbert Corporation Northern Michigan Electric Co-op Northern Michigan Exploration Company O & A Electric Co-op Oceana Electric Co-op Pontiac State Bank Silver's SNB Bank & Trust Southeast Michigan Gas Company

Southeastern Michigan Rural Electric Co-op

Proposal H (Con't.)
Page 2

#### Support

Taylor Engineering
Thumb Electric Co-op
Top O' Michigan Rural Electric Co-op
Total Building Services, Inc.
Townsend & Bottum, Inc.
Tri-County Electric Co-op
Upper Peninsula Power Company
Wackenhut Corporation
Walbridge Aldinger Company
Western Michigan Electric Co-op
Wisconsin Electric Power Company
Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
Wismer & Becker
Wolverine Electric Co-op
Wyman Gordon Company

Direct	<u>In-Kind</u>	Independent
\$28,585	\$30,542	\$1,150,291

#### Oppose

#### Michigan Citizens Lobby

Direct	In-Kind	Independent		
\$23,636	\$15,149		\$	0

# - 1980 General Election - Ballot Question Committees

The attached sheets show the total amount Ballot Question Committees expended to support and oppose proposals A, B, C, D and E through November 24, 1980 as reported on their Campaign Statements.

- . Expenditures by Ballot Question Committees that transfer funds to other Ballot Question Committees are not included in the totals.
- . In-kind contributions, in-kind expenditures, and outstanding debts are included in the expenditure totals.
- . Loans and loan repayments are not counted as expenditures.

Every attempt has been made to provide accurate figures but errors may be present. Always return to the reports for confirmation of the data reported here.

#### Proposal A Support

Total	Expenditures	 Pre-General	Post-Gen	eral
		\$620,768	\$122,4	49

#### Committees Giving Support

ID#	Committee Name
0148	Metropolitan PAC
1481	Midland Area Teachers PAC
2552	Citizens for Property Tax Relief and Quality Education
2606	Jackson County Education Association Ballot Issues Committee
2615	Michigan Education Association
2681	Muskegon-Ottawa Committee for Smith/Bullard Amendment
3075	Legislative Policy Committee of the Michigan Township Association
3085	Don Hokenga Committee
3098	Wayne County Taxpayers for Proposal "A"
3099	Kent County Citizens for Proposal A
3137	Mid-Michigan Yes on A Committee
3138	Capital Area Education for Improved Education
3157	Genesee County Coalition for Proposal A
3200	St. Joseph County Citizens for Quality Education and Property Tax Relief -
	Smith/Bullard Coalition, Tax Proposal A
3202	Monroe County Coalition for Proposal A
3261	P.A. Committee
3291	Committee in Support of Proposal A
3294	Carman-Ainsworth, Grand Blanc, Fenton Educational Legislation Caucus
3302	Beecher Education Association
3303	10-B Coordinating Council, MEA/NEA

# Proposal A Opposition

Total	Expenditures	-	Pre-General	Post-General
			\$3,005	\$86,508

ID#	Committee Name
2462	Michigan Business Initiative
3096	Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee
3155	Citizens Against Higher Taxes
3157	Genesee County for Proposal A
3228	Aeroquip Corporation
3284	Wayne-Westland Citizens for Better Tax Solutions
3305	Southfield/Lathrup Village Citizens for Responsible Tax Legislation
3306	City of Huntington Woods
3358	Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Michigan

## Proposal B Support

Total	Expenditures	_	Pre-General	Post-General
			\$423,797	\$99,506

#### Committees Giving Support

ID#	Committee Name
2434	Citizens for a Fair Drinking Age
3039	19 is Fair
3268	Michigan Hospital Association Proposition "D" Committee
3299	19 is More Than Fair

# Proposal B Opposition

Total	Expenditures	-	Pre-General	Post-General
			\$15,685	\$12,572

ID#	Committee	2 Name	2			
3082	Keep 21					
3096	Michigan	Farm	Bureau	Ballot	Question	Committee

#### Proposal C Support

Total Expenditures - Pre-General \$29,907

Post-General \$43,543

\$1,254

Committees Giving Support

ID# Committee Name 2969 Coalition for Property Tax Reduction

> Proposal C Opposition

Total Expenditures - Pre-General Post-General \$305

ID#	Committee Name
3096	Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee
3284	Wayne-Westland Citizens for Better Tax Solutions
3305	Southfield-Lathrup Village Citizens for Responsible Tax Legislation
3306	City of Huntington Woods

#### Proposal D Support

Total	Expenditures	-	Pre-General	Post-General
			\$77,257	\$63,197

#### Committees Giving Support

ID#	Committee Name
2064	Realtors PAC of Mi - II
2198	Tisch Coalition for Property Tax Cut in Michigan
2678	Michigan Tisch Tax Cut Coalition
2946	Conservative Caucus of the 5th Congressional Caucus
3161	Realtors for Tisch Tax Cut "D"
3283	Dickinson County Taxpayers United

#### Proposal D Opposition

Total Expenditures -  $\frac{Pre-General}{$99,305}$   $\frac{Post-General}{$482,320}$ 

ID#	Committee Name
0287	Michigan Federation of Teachers Committee on Political Education
0570	International Association of Fire Fighters Local 421, Independent Committee
1481	Midland Area Teachers' PAC
2331	Michigan Elementary & Middle School Principals Association
2615	Michigan Education Association
2640	New Detroit Ballot Issues Committee
2966	Citizens to Save Our State
3096	Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee
3099	Kent County Citizens for Proposal A
3112	EMU-AAUP Political Action Committee
3129	Grand Traverse Area Citizens Against Tisch
3137	Mid-Michigan Yes on A Committee
3157	Genesee County Coalition for Proposal A
3163	Faculty Against Proposal D
3165	Michigan Road Builders Association
3258	Citizens for an Informed Choice
3268	Michigan Hospital Association Proposal "D" Committee
3272	ASMSU
3284	Wayne-Westland Citizens for Better Tax Solutions
3298	Marquette Citizens Against Proposal D
3305	Southfield/Lathrup Village Citizens for Responsible Tax Legislation
3306	City of Huntington Woods
3307 -	Friends of Wayne State University Ballot Question Committee
3322	Taxpayers Against Proposal D
3348	Saginaw Valley State College Faculty Association
3356	Citizens Opposed to Proposal D
3358	Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Michigan
3366	Macomb County Taxpayers Coalition
3404	Michigan Higher Education Student Association PAC

#### Proposal E Support

Committees Giving Support

ID#	Committee Name
3089	Coalition for Proposal E
3096	Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee

Proposal E Opposition

NONE

#### Ballot Question Committees

#### \*Each of the attached sheets list:

- One of the 11 ballot question proposals on the November 1978 general election ballot.
- The single-issue and multi-issue Ballot Question Committees that formed to support and oppose the proposal.
- Total receipts received by each committee from its formation through December 7, 1978.
- Total amount spent to support or oppose the proposal during the same period.
- \*Committees that filed single-page Campaign Statements are not listed. Since there were very few single-page Campaign Statement filers, the final totals would not be significantly affected.
- 'The value of an in-kind contribution (printing, broadcast time, etc.) is always added in as a receipt and an expenditure.
- 'A contribution from one committee to another committee is not reflected in the contributing committee's expenditure total.
- 'A repaid loan is not reflected as a receipt or an expenditure. Outstanding and forgiven loans are included as receipts.
- Every attempt has been made to provide accurate figures but errors may be present. Always return to the reports for confirmation of data reported here.

# PROPOSAL A - CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION

SUPPORT			:	OPPOSE			
Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independen Expenditures on Proposal A		Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & DEXPENDED TO Proposal A	Independent
Single-Issue Committees				Single-Issue Committees		TIOPOSAI A	,
Citizens for a Constitutional Convention	\$58,716	<u>\$55,832</u>		Citizens Against Proposal A	\$85 <b>,</b> 949	\$82,535	
•				Multi-Issue Committees	•.		:
				Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee	\$ 4,579	\$ 945	<u>!</u> :
Total Expenditure	in Support	\$55,832		Total Expenditures in	n Opposition	\$83,480	
					•		i
	•				•		
						• ,	!

SUPPORT  Committee  Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal B	OPPOSE  Committee  Name	Total	Total Direct & Independent
Single Issue Committees			Summ	Receipts	Expenditures on Proposal B
We the People Committee	\$13,929	\$13,700			
Multi-Issue Committees	•				·
<ul> <li>Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Commit</li> </ul>	tee \$ <b>4.</b> 579	<u>\$ 203</u>			
Total Expenditur		\$13,903	Total Expenditures in Opposi	Ltion	<b>\$</b> 0
				!	•
	••				
. i		,			
			•	•	

SUPPORT	·		OPPOSE		`
Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal C	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal C
Single-Issue Committees Committee for the Deposit of Funds				1	
Amendment Multi-Issue Committees	\$169,532	\$169,532			
Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committe		\$ <u>203</u>			
Total Expenditure	s in Support	\$169,735	Total Expenditures in O	pposition	<b>\$0</b>
				est.	

SUPPORT		•	OPPOSE		
Committee . Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal D	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal D
Single Issue Committees			Single Issue Committees	1	
Coalition for 21	\$41,160	\$41,160	Michigan Committee for the Age of Responsibility	\$205,912	<u>\$194,357</u>
Mutli-Issue Committees Michigan Farm		· •			••
Bureau Ballot Question Committee		\$ 203			
Total Expenditures	in Support	\$41,363	. Total Expenditures in Oppos	sition	\$194,357 ·
					•
	·				

	SUPPORT			OPPOSE		***************************************
	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal E	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal E
•	Single-Issue Committees			Multi-Issue Committees	. 1	
	Taxpayers United for Tax Limitation	\$287,407	\$285,368	Citizens Opposed to Proposals H, J & E	\$ 925	\$ 305
٠.	Realtors PAC of Mich. II Multi-Issue	\$ 45,883	\$ 629 •	Citizens Opposed to Slick Tax Schemes of E, H & J	\$132,614	\$ 44 <b>,</b> 205
	Committees Mich. Association		•	MEA Ballot Question Committee	-\$660,663	\$189,068
	of School Boards	\$ 905	\$ <b>19</b> 5	Citizens Committee for Responsible Financing	\$ 18,088	\$ 6,029
	Ballot Question Committee	\$ 4,579	\$ 905	St. Clair County Against Parochiaid	\$ 755	\$ 235
	American & Mich. Conservative Union Committee	\$ 10,000	\$ 5,000			
	Total Expenditures i	n Support	\$292,097	Total Expenditures In Opp	position	\$239,842
				•		
		,	•	•		«n

•	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct ( Expenditures ( Proposal G	& Independent on	OPPOSE Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal G
	Single Issue Committees					1	-1000001
	Trooper Petition Drive Committee	\$72,755	\$72,755				
	Total Expenditures	in Support	\$72,755		Total Expenditures in Oppo	osition	÷0
•.							
	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;			·			
					·		
			· ·				

	SUPPORT			OPPOSE		
	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal H	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal H
•	Single-Issue Committees			Single-Issue Committees	ì	
	Citizens for More Sensible Financing of Education	\$239,547	<u>\$239,424</u>	Council Against Parochiaid (CAP '78)	\$ 43,003	\$ 42,497
• ,	4		•	Americans United for Sepa- ration of Church and State Referendum Committee	\$ 1,777	\$ 1,574
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Genesee Council About Parochiaid '78	\$ 445	\$ 194
	•			. Citizens Against Parochiaid	\$ 4,065	\$ 4,Q64
•		•		Western Wayne Co. Council Against Parochiaid(CAP: 178)	\$ 10,008	\$ 9,829
				Macomb Council Against Parochiaid (CAP)	\$ 5,982	\$ 5,096
		•• •		Washtenaw Council Against Parochiaid 1978	\$ 2,919	\$ 2,721
	• .			Jackson Area Council About Parochiaid	\$ 7,072	\$ 7,055
	i i			Oak Park Council Against Parochiaid (CAP '78)	\$ 467	\$ 467
			•	Oakland County Council Against Parochiaid	\$ 438	\$ 367

SUPPORT			OPPOSE .		***************************************
Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal	Committee Name	Total	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on
			Single Issue Committees (cont.)	Receipts	Proposal
	•		Calhoun Area Council Against Parochiaid '78	\$ 2,604	\$ 2,572
•		• •	Hillsdale County Council Against Parochiaid	\$ 734	\$ 734
		•	Royal Oak Council Against Parochiaid '78	\$ 1,547	\$ 1,326
		•	Muskegon Area Council Against Proposal H	\$ 1,893	\$ 1,819
			Waterford Council Against Parochiaid (Waterford CAP)	\$ * 319	\$ 310
			Citizens for Local Control of Schools	\$ 1,539	\$ 1,299
	•••		Multi-Issue Committees		
			Michigan Educ. Assoc.	\$660,633	\$217,591
			Michigan Assoc. of School Boards	\$ 905	\$ 515
	•	•	Citizens Committee for Responsible Financing	\$ 18,088	\$ 6,029
:		<i>)</i>		. Kr	

SUPPORT			OPPOSE		
Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal H	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal H
•	•		Multi-Issue Committees (cont.)		
i i		•	Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee	\$ 4,579	\$ 405
	· ·		St. Clair County Council Against Parochiaid	\$ 755	\$ 235
	•		Citizens Opposed to Propositions H, J, & E	\$ 925	\$ 305
	• • • •		Citizens Opposed to Slick Tax Schemes of Proposals E, H, & J	\$132,614	\$ 44,205
Total Expenditures	in Support	\$239,424	Total Expenditures in Opposi	tion	\$351,210
				· :	
	•				

	SUPPORT .	•		OPPOSE	,	
	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal J	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal J
	Single-Issue Committees		<u>.</u>	Single-Issue Committees	i	
	Tisch Coalition for Property Tax Cut In Michigan	\$13,788		Taxpayers Opposing Tisch	\$ 260	\$ 72
		¥13,700	\$12,174	Michigan Assessors Assoc PA	C \$ 988	\$ 988
•	Southeast Michigan Tisch Coalition	\$ 4,889	\$4,889	Multi-Issue Committees		
	Saginaw Valley Tisch Coalition	\$ 829	\$ 819	Michigan Education Assoc	\$660,633	\$217,601
	Coalition of Tax- payers for			Michigan Assoc. of School Boards	\$ 905	\$ 195
	Proposition J Multi-Issue	\$ 49	\$ 49	Citizens Committee for Responsible Financing	<b>\$</b> 18,088	\$ 6,029
	Committees American and			Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot Question Committee	. \$ 4 <b>,</b> 579	\$ 905
	Michigan Conser- vative Union Comm	\$10,000	\$5,000	Citizens Opposed to Propositions H, J, & E	\$ 925	\$ 305
		•	. ,	Citizens Opposed to Slick Tax Schemes of Proposals . E, H, & J	\$132,614	0.44.005
				St. Clair County Against Parochiaid	\$ 755	\$ 44,205
	Total Expenditures	n Support	\$22,931	Total Expenditures in Oppos	ition	\$ 235

SUPP	mittee	Tabal Di	ienendent	OPPOSE				
Nam	G .	Total Receipts	Expenditures on Proposal K	- op ondone	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Ind Expenditures on Proposal K	lependent
Mu1 Com	ti-Issue mittees	•		·				
Bur	higan Farm eau Ballot stion Committee	\$4,579	<u>\$ 203</u>	•				
Tota	al Expenditures	in Support	\$ 203		Total Expenditures in (	pposition	\$0	
				·				
	•		•		•		•	

发现这种种情况的。在1880的情况

ka dan menang Taluga Alambah balah dan ka

er fer i skall de litter for de letter for d

SUPPORT	•			`		
		•	<u>OPPOSE</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal M	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Independent Expenditures on Proposal M	
Multi-Issue Committees				1		
Michigan Farm Bureau Ballot			•			
Question Committee	\$4,579	\$ 203		•		
Total Expenditures	in Support	\$ 203	Total Expenditures in Oppo	sition	\$0	
				•		
	•					
	•					

OULONI	UPPORT			OPPOSE			
Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Expenditures on Proposal R	Independent	Committee Name	Total Receipts	Total Direct & Expenditures or	Independent n
•						Proposal R	
Single-Issue Committees			•				
Committee for			•	,			
Proposal R	\$9,130	\$7 <b>,</b> 865			,		i
Multi-Issuc		* <b>:</b>					
Committees							
Michigan Farm	•						•
Bureau Ballot Question Committe	. 64 570		•	• .			
		\$ 203					•
Total Expenditure	s in Support	\$8,068		Total Expenditures in O	pposition	\$0 <i>•</i>	
	•	•			•	ΨO	
	•	·	•				
	•		•	!	•		
		,				4	
	••	•					
•	••						
					•		